	Case 2:21-cv-01698-KJM-DB Documen	t 17 Filed 05/20/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SCOTT FRANK FIALHO,	No. 2:21-cv-1698 KJM DB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	AULD, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding with a civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
18	Plaintiff alleges Eighth Amendment violations for defendants' conduct regarding the COVID-19	
19	pandemic. In an order filed October 27, 2021, this court found plaintiff failed to state any	
20	cognizable claims for relief. Plaintiff was given the opportunity to file a first amended complaint	
21	within sixty days. Plaintiff was warned that if he failed to comply with the October 27 order, this	
22	court might recommend this action be dismissed.	
23	When sixty days had passed and plaintiff had not filed a first amended complaint, this	
24	court issued an order giving plaintiff an additional thirty days to file. (March 30, 2022 Order	
25	(ECF No. 16).) Plaintiff was warned that if he failed to file a timely first amended complaint, this	
26	court would recommend this action be dismissed without prejudice for plaintiff's failure to	
27	prosecute and failure to comply with court orders. See E.D. Cal. R. 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41.	
28		
		1

Case 2:21-cv-01698-KJM-DB Document 17 Filed 05/20/22 Page 2 of 2

Plaintiff has now had well over six months to file a first amended complaint and has not done so. Nor has plaintiff responded in any way to the court's March 30 order. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice for plaintiff's failure to prosecute and failure to comply with court orders. See E.D. Cal. R. 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41. These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within thirty days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may result in waiver of the right to appeal the district court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: May 19, 2022 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DB Prisoner Inbox/Civil Rights/R/fial1698.FTA fr